



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

rl  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,320	11/16/2001	Francis M. Lacan	CHA920010022US1	8419
23550	7590	07/20/2007	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
75 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
14TH FLOOR			2136	
ALBANY, NY 12207				

  

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/20/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/988,320	LACAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David G. Cervetti	2136	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David G. Cervetti. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hunter Webb (Reg No 54593). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lei et al. (US Patent 6,487,552, hereinafter Lei).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Response to amendment section of last office action argues that a feature was not claimed, however the prior art rejection of the claim addressed the feature. This was a mistake, since the cited art teaches analysis based on confidential details of the data as stated in page 3. Possible claim amendment was discussed. Examiner will update the search once a formal amendment is filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required